Percutaneous Revascularization for Ischemic Left Ventricular Dysfunction List of authors.Divaka Perera, M.D., Tim Clayton, M.Sc., Peter D. O'Kane, M.D., John P. Greenwood, Ph.D., Roshan Weerackody, Ph.D., Matthew Ryan, Ph.D., Holly P. Morgan, M.B., B.Ch., Matthew Dodd, M.Sc., Richard Evans, B.A., Ruth Canter, M.Sc., Sophie Arnold, M.Sc., Lana J. Dixon, Ph.D., et al., for the REVIVED-BCIS2 Investigators^{*}

NEJM 27/8/2022



REVascularisation for Ischaemic VEntricular Dysfunction (REVIVED-BCIS2)



REVIVED-BCIS2 UK



BACKGROUND

 Whether revascularization by percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) can improve event-free survival and left ventricular function in patients with severe ischemic left ventricular systolic dysfunction, as compared with optimal medical therapy (i.e., individually adjusted pharmacologic and device therapy for heart failure) alone, is unknown.

Methods

- We randomly assigned patients with a left ventricular ejection fraction of 35% or less, extensive coronary artery disease amenable to PCI, and demonstrable myocardial viability to a strategy of either PCI plus optimal medical therapy (PCI group) or optimal medical therapy alone (optimal-medical-therapy group).
- The primary composite outcome was death from any cause or hospitalization for heart failure.
- Major secondary outcomes were left ventricular ejection fraction at 6 and 12 months and quality-of-life scores.

- Patients were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to a strategy of PCI plus optimal medical therapy (PCI group) or optimal medical therapy alone (optimal-medical-therapy group).
- Optimal medical therapy refers to individually adjusted pharmacologic and device therapy for heart failure. In the PCI group, the protocol required that revascularization be attempted on all diseased proximal coronary vessels subtending areas of viable myocardium.



 The extent of revascularization was characterized by the British Cardiovascular Intervention Society jeopardy score and anatomical revascularization index, which was calculated as follows: [(the pre-PCI jeopardy score minus the post-PCI jeopardy score) divided by (the pre-PCI jeopardy score)]×100, with 100% indicating complete revascularization of all angiographically significant coronary disease

 Medical therapy for heart failure was initiated before enrollment and customized according to the patient's individual needs throughout the trial by heart-failure specialists at the recruiting centers. A medical-therapy committee reviewed guidelines periodically and refined recommendations to ensure that the pharmacologic and device therapy given to all patients in the trial remained contemporary. The decision to insert an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) or cardiac resynchronization therapy device was at the discretion of treating clinicians but had to be documented before randomization.

Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Patients at Baseline.*

	PCI	Optimal Medical Therapy
Characteristic	(N=347)	(N=353)
Age — yr	70.0±9.0	68.8±9.1
Male sex — no. (%)	302 (87)	312 (88)
Race — no. (%)†		
White	306 (88)	328 (93)
Asian	32 (9)	17 (5)
Black	3 (1)	3 (1)
Mixed, other, or not reported	6 (2)	5 (1)
Body-mass index‡	28.4±5.5	28.7±5.4
Hypertension — no./total no. (%)	184/347 (53)	207/352 (59)
Diabetes — no. (%)	136 (39)	153 (43)
Current or previous smoker — no. (%)	243 (70)	267 (76)
Previous myocardial infarction — no. (%)	175 (50)	197 (56)
Previous PCI — no. (%)	66 (19)	76 (22)
Previous CABG — no. (%)	12 (3)	22 (6)
NYHA functional class — no./total no. (%)§		
I or II	265/345 (77)	248/350 (71)
III or IV	80/345 (23)	102/350 (29)
CCS angina class — no./total no. (%)¶		
No angina	228/346 (66)	236/351 (67)
l or ll	111/346 (32)	107/351 (30)
10	7/346 (2)	8/351 (2)

Characteristic	PCI (N=347)
CCS angina class — no./total no. (%)¶	
No angina	228/346 (66)
l or ll	111/346 (32)
III.	7/346 (2)
Left ventricular ejection fraction — %	27.0±6.6
Coronary artery disease characteristic	
Median BCIS jeopardy score (IQR)**	10 (8–12)
Left main coronary artery disease — no./total no. (%)	50/346 (14)
Three-vessel coronary artery disease — no./total no. (%)	133/346 (38)
Two-vessel coronary artery disease — no. (%)	178 (51)
Median NT-proBNP — pg/ml (IQR)	1376 (697–3426)

Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Patients at Baseline.*

Plus-minus values are means ±SD. Percentages may not total 100 because of rounding. CABG denotes coronary-artery bypass grafting, IQR in N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide, and PCI percutaneous coronary intervention.

† Race was reported by the patient.

The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.

The New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class ranges from I (no symptoms) to IV (symptoms at rest or on minimal activity).

In the Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) grading of angina pectoris, grade I denotes symptoms only with strenuous or prolonged exertio ordinary activity; and grade III, marked limitation of ordinary physical activity.

The baseline left ventricular ejection fraction was assessed by echocardiography or cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging; the values we center.

** The British Cardiovascular Intervention Society (BCIS) jeopardy score is a quantification of the extent of myocardial jeopardy relating to clinica stenoses. The score ranges from 0 (no significant coronary disease) to 12 (disease jeopardizing the whole left ventricular myocardium).



Table 2. Primary and Secondary Outcomes.

Outcome	PCI (N=347)	Optimal Medical Therapy (N=353)	Treatment Effect (95% CI)*
Primary outcome			
Death from any cause or hospitalization for heart failure — no. (%)†	129 (37.2)	134 (38.0)	0.99 (0.78–1.27)
Secondary outcomes‡			
Components of the primary outcome			
Death from any cause	110 (31.7)	115 (32.6)	0.98 (0.75–1.27)
Hospitalization for heart failure§	51 (14.7)	54 (15.3)	0.97 (0.66–1.43)
Death from cardiovascular causes — no. (%)¶	76 (21.9)	88 (24.9)	0.88 (0.65–1.20)
Acute myocardial infarction — no. (%)	37 (10.7)	38 (10.8)	1.01 (0.64–1.60)
Periprocedural — no. (%)**	14 (37.8)	0	
Spontaneous — no. (%)**	18 (48.7)	33 (86.8)	
Sudden death — no. (%)**††	5 (13.5)	5 (13.2)	
Unplanned revascularization — no. (%)‡‡	10 (2.9)	37 (10.5)	0.27 (0.13-0.53)
PCI — no. (%)∭	9 (90.0)	29 (78.4)	
CABG — no. (%)∭	1 (10.0)	8 (21.6)	
Major bleeding — no. (%)			
At 1 yr	10/319 (3.1)	2/316 (0.6)	4.95 (1.09–22.43)
At 2 yr	10/292 (3.4)	7/290 (2.4)	1.42 (0.55-3.68)

Treatment effects are hazard ratios, except for major bleeding, for which the treatment effect is the risk ratio.

Randomization was stratified according to recruiting center. When recruiting center was taken into account as a covariate, the hazard ratio for a primary-outcome event was 1.00 (95% CI, 0.78 to 1.28; P=0.96).

Because the statistical analysis plan did not include a provision for correcting for multiplicity when conducting tests for secondary or other outcomes, the results are reported as point estimates with 95% confidence intervals. The widths of the confidence intervals have not been adjusted for multiplicity, so the intervals should not be used to infer



Subgroup	No. of Patients	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
All patients	700 —	0.99 (0.78–1.27)
Age		
<70 yr	350	0.86 (0.59–1.24)
≥70 yr	350	1.07 (0.78–1.48)
BCIS jeopardy score		
≤8	308	1.14 (0.78–1.66)
≥10	390	0.92 (0.67–1.27)
LVEF		1
<29%	351	0.87 (0.62–1.22)
≥29%	347 —	1.18 (0.83–1.67)
Diabetes mellitus		
No	411	1.03 (0.73–1.43)
Yes	289	1.00 (0.70–1.42)
NYHA functional class		
l or ll	513	1.07 (0.79–1.46)
III or IV	182 —	0.99 (0.67–1.48)
Atrial fibrillation		
No	543	0.98 (0.75–1.30)
Yes	114	1.01 (0.56–1.80)
Left main stem disease		
No	603	0.96 (0.74–1.25)
Yes	95	► 1.27 (0.70-2.30)
NT-proBNP		
<1430 ng/liter	287	0.94 (0.57–1.53)
≥1430 ng/liter	288	1.05 (0.76–1.46)
	0.5	1.0 1.5 2.0
	PCI Better	Optimal Medical Therapy Better



CONCLUSIONS

 Among patients with severe ischemic left ventricular systolic dysfunction who received optimal medical therapy, revascularization by PCI did not result in a lower incidence of death from any cause or hospitalization for heart failure. (Funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research Health Technology Assessment Program; REVIVED-BCIS2 ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT01920048..)



Andreas Gevaert @AndreasGevaert · 19m

CABG remains standard of care for coronary revascularization in patients with #HeartFailure and low LVEF

#ESCCongress #HotLine #RevivedBCIS2 @aayshacader @ANazmiCalik @KardiologieHH

Dr.Rajiv Sankaranarayanan @DrRajivsankar · 35m
 #REVIVED by @divaka_perera #ESCCongress @escardio
 Pts with ischaemic aetiology #heartfailure (LVEF <35%) who received optimal medical therapy, revascularization by PCI × lower incidence of death from any cause or hospitalization for heart failure @BSHeartFailure

